## **Equality Impact Assessment**

#### **Introductory Information**

Budget/Project name	Equipment and Adaptations								
Proposal type <ul> <li>Budget</li> <li>Project</li> </ul>		Refer	ence numb	oer [	1070				
<ul> <li>Decision Type</li> <li>Cooperative Executive</li> <li>Leader</li> <li>Individual Cooperative Committee Member</li> <li>Executive Director/Director</li> <li>Officer Decision (Non-Key)</li> <li>Council (e.g. Budget and Housing Revenue Account)</li> <li>Regulatory Committee (e.g. Licensing Committee)</li> <li>Local Area Committee</li> </ul>									
Lead Cooperative Executive	Lead Cooperative Executive Member George Lindars-Hammond, Angela Argenzio, Steve Ayris								
Entered on Q Tier									
<b>Year(s)</b> 18/19     19/20     20/2	1 21/	22 🗆 22/23	□ 23/24	□ 24/	25 🗆 25	5/26			

#### EIA date 2 November 2022

EIA Lead	
Adele Robinson	Ed Sexton
Annemarie Johnston	Louise Nunn
Bashir Khan	Richard Bartlett
Bev Law	Rosie May
Person filling in this EIA form	Lead officer
Die Green	Alexis Chappell

#### Lead Corporate Plan priority

An In-Touch	Strong	Thriving	Better	Tackling
Organisation	Economy	Neighbourhoods and Communities	Health and	Inequalities
		and Communities	Wellbeing	

#### Portfolio, Service and Team

#### **Cross-Portfolio**

🗆 Yes 🗆 No

People

Portfolio

Is the EIA joint with another organisation (eg NHS)? □ Yes □ No

#### Brief aim(s) of the proposal and the outcome(s) you want to achieve

EIA updated Oct 2022

This proposal is included in Business Planning 2022-23.

Through the investment in a team of temporary additional staff, a backlog of people waiting for an assessment by the Equipment & Adaptations service will be addressed and cleared during 2022-23 and normal Pre-Covid levels referral demand will then be managed within expected timescales.

As a result of these assessments, as well as providing timely assistance to support people's continued independence at home, savings are expected to be achieved. This will potentially be possible by reductions in the cost of some care packages, either through avoiding the need for new packages or reducing the cost of existing ones where people are waiting for an assessment.

### Impact

Under the <u>Public Sector Equality Duty</u> we have to pay due regard to the need to:

- eliminate discrimination, harassment and victimisation
- advance equality of opportunity
- foster good relations

More information is available on the <u>Council website</u> including the <u>Community Knowledge</u> <u>Profiles</u>.

Note the EIA should describe impact before any action/mitigation. If there are both negatives and positives, please outline these – positives will be part of any mitigation. The action plan should detail any mitigation.

#### Overview

# Briefly describe how the proposal helps to meet the Public Sector Duty outlined above

The proposal supports the Duty, specifically advancing equality of opportunity of older people and disabled people to continue to live independently at home. This has associated benefits, including around health and wellbeing, mental health and social and financial inclusion.

#### Impacts

#### Proposal has an impact on

🗆 Health	Transgender
🗆 Age	
Disability	Voluntary/Community & Faith Sectors
Pregnancy/Maternity	Cohesion
🗆 Race	Partners
Religion/Belief	Poverty & Financial Inclusion
🗆 Sex	Armed Forces
Sexual Orientation	

Give details in sections below.

Health						
Does the Proposal have a significant impact on health and well-being (including effects on the wider determinants of health)?						
Yes	□ No	if Yes, comple	ete section belo	DW		
<b>Staff</b> □ Yes	□ No	Impact <ul> <li>Positive</li> </ul>	Neutral	Negative		
		Level	□ Low	Medium	🗆 High	
Details of i	mpact					
Customers	□ No	Impact <ul> <li>Positive</li> </ul>	Neutral	Negative		
		<b>Level</b> □ None	□ Low	Medium	🗆 High	
<ul> <li>Details of impact</li> <li>The proposal is expected to benefit around 2000 customers in the E&amp;A backlog and the monthly throughput of 500+ people being assessed, (which, in context, compares to around 7,500 total AHSC customers as of January 2022). It therefore represents a significant proportion of all AHSC clients. There are clear health benefits to people being able to maintain independence at home in comparison to hospital or other care/health settings, which may include: <ul> <li>self-esteem and personal decision-making;</li> <li>mental health;</li> <li>physical health and activity;</li> <li>healthy lifestyle and diet;</li> <li>physical space and outdoor space;</li> <li>increased contact with, and care from, family.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Living at home reduces risks of infections and other poor health outcomes associated with communal environments shared with unwell people.</li> </ul>						
		Pa	age 143			

	DCC 2021						
Comprehensive Health Impact Assessment being completed							
□ Yes □ No							
Please attach health impact assessment as a supporting document below.							
Public Health Leads has signed off the health impact(s) of this EIA							
🗆 Yes 🗆 No							
Health Lead							

Age					
<b>Staff</b> Yes	□ No	<b>Impact</b> <ul> <li>Positive</li> </ul>	Neutral	Negative	
		Level	□ Low	Medium	🗆 High
Details of	impact				
Customer	s □ No	Impact Positive	Neutral	Negative	
		<b>Level</b> □ None	□ Low	Medium	🗆 High
Details of	impact				
proxy, in		6 of all AHSC cl		ber of older peop d 65 and above	
Benefits r	nay include he	alth, wellbeing,	lifestyle, envi	ronment and inc	lusion.

Disabilit	y					
<b>Staff</b> Yes	□ No	Impact <ul> <li>Positive</li> </ul>	Neutral	Negative		
		Level	□ Low	Medium	🗆 High	
Details of	impact					
Page 144						

					DCC 202
Customers	No	Impact Positive	Neutral	Negative	
		Level	□ Low	Medium	🗆 High
Details of imp	act				
	•	•	-	per of disabled pe	

nature of the fact that everyone who will benefit has health and social care support needs, the vast majority of people would be classed as sharing the protected characteristic of disability. This applies to beneficiaries of all ages. However, disabled people of working age (under 65) represented 41% of all AHSC clients in Oct 2022.

Benefits may include health, wellbeing, lifestyle, environment and inclusion.

Pregnanc	cy/Materni	ty			
<b>Staff</b>	🗆 No	<b>Impact</b> <ul> <li>Positive</li> </ul>	Neutral	Negative	
		Level	□ Low	□ Medium	High
Details of	impact				⊔ mgn
<b>Customer</b> <ul> <li>Yes</li> </ul>	s □ No	Impact <ul> <li>Positive</li> </ul>	Neutral	Negative	
		Level	□ Low	Medium	🗆 High
Details of	impact				

Race						
<b>Staff</b> □ Yes	□ No	Impact <ul> <li>Positive</li> </ul>	Neutral	Negative		
		Level	□ Low	Medium	🗆 High	
Details of	impact					
Page 145						

Customers	🗆 No	Impact Positive	Neutral	Negative		
		Level	□ Low	Medium	🗆 High	
<b>Details of impact</b> White British people are likely to be significantly overrepresented in the beneficiaries of the proposal, making up 81% of all AHSC clients where ethnicity is known in Oct 2022						

Religion/	Belief				
<b>Staff</b> Yes	□ No	Impact Positive	Neutral	Negative	
		<b>Level</b> □ None	□ Low	Medium	🗆 High
Details of	impact				
Customers	s □ No	Impact <ul> <li>Positive</li> </ul>	Neutral	Negative	
		Level	□ Low	Medium	🗆 High
Details of	impact				

Sex					
<b>Staff</b> Yes	□ No	Impact Positive	Neutral	Negative	
		Level	□ Low	Medium	🗆 High
Details of	f impact				
- ·		<b>-</b> .			
Customei	r <b>s</b> □ No	Impact □ Po∰äĝe	e 140 <sup>eutral</sup>	Negative	

Level	□ Low	Medium	🗆 High

Sexual O	rientation				
<b>Staff</b>	□ No	Impact <ul> <li>Positive</li> </ul>	Neutral	Negative	
		<b>Level</b> □ None	□ Low	Medium	🗆 High
Details of	impact				
Customers	s □ No	Impact <ul> <li>Positive</li> </ul>	Neutral	Negative	
		Level	□ Low	Medium	🗆 High
Details of impact					
There is no evidence of any disproportionate impact.					

Transgend	er				
Staff Ves	🗆 No	Impact Desitive	Neutral	Negative	
		Level	□ Low	Medium	🗆 High
Details of impact					
		_			
<b>Customers</b> <ul> <li>Yes</li> </ul>	□ No	Impact <ul> <li>Positive</li> </ul>	Neutral	Negative	
		Level	□ Low	Medium	🗆 High
		Pa	age 147		

Details of impact	
The sure the second data as a	a Characteria di Sana da sa subita da s

There is no evidence of any disproportionate impact.

Carers					
Staff		Impact			
🗆 Yes	🗆 No	Positive	Neutral	Negative	
		Lavral			
		Level	□ Low	Medium	🗆 High
Details of	impact				
	•				
Customer	-	Impact			
🗆 Yes	🗆 No	Positive	Neutral	Negative	
		Level			
		□ None	□ Low	Medium	🗆 High
Details of	<sup>f</sup> impact				
				enefit from fami	
				itions to help the	
				ce aspects of the	e caring role
no longer	required, as	well as reducing	anxiety.		

Voluntary/Community & Faith Sectors						
<b>Staff</b> Yes	□ No	Impact Desitive	Neutral	Negative		
		Level	□ Low	Medium	🗆 High	
Details of impact						
Customer	c	Impact				
□ Yes	□ No	<ul> <li>Positive</li> </ul>	Neutral	Negative		
		Level	□ Low	Medium	🗆 High	

Cohesion	n				
<b>Staff</b>	□ No	<b>Impact</b> <ul> <li>Positive</li> </ul>	Neutral	Negative	
		Level	□ Low	Medium	🗆 High
Details of	impact				
Customer	'C	Impact			
□ Yes	□ No	<ul> <li>Positive</li> </ul>	Neutral	Negative	
		Level	□ Low	Medium	🗆 High
Details of	impact				

Partners	5				
<b>Staff</b> Yes	🗆 No	Impact <ul> <li>Positive</li> </ul>	Neutral	Negative	
		Level	□ Low	Medium	🗆 High
Details of	f impact				
Custome	rs □ No	<b>Impact</b>	Neutral	Negative	
		Level	□ Low	Medium	🗆 High

#### Details of impact

The proposal will help people to live independently at home, reducing the risk of / need for hospital admissions, and potentially supporting hospital discharge. Potential or actual NHS patients will therefore benefit, with consequential positive impacts on other patients/people/services/capacity. There may be other direct or indirect benefits for housing tenants or people accessing other services/provision.

Poverty &	Financial I	nclusion			
Staff	□ No	Impact Positive	Neutral	Negative	
		Level	□ Low	Medium	🗆 High
Details of in	npact				
Customers	□ No	Impact Positive	Neutral	Negative	
		Level			
		None	Low	Medium	High
Details of in	npact				
needed to s themselves, ways – e.g. There are no	pend their own if they were a adaptations n o financial imp ng for non-crit	n resources to able to. It may nay reduce end olications for cr	purchase equivalso save hou ergy bills.	who may otherw ipment or adapta isehold expendito n – hoists, stairlif d level access sho	ations ure in other fts, ramps.

Armed Fe	orces				
Staff	□ No	Impact <ul> <li>Positive</li> </ul>	Neutral	Negative	
		Level	□ Low	Medium	🗆 High
Details of	impact				
<b>.</b>	_	<b>T</b>			
Customer	rs □ No	_	Neutral 150	Negative	

	Level	□ Low	Medium	🗆 High
<b>Details of impact</b> There is no evidence of	any disproport	cionate impact	t.	

Other					
Staff					
□ Yes	□ No	Please speci	fy		
		Impact Positive	Neutral	Negative	
		Level	□ Low	Medium	🗆 High
Details of	impact				
<ul> <li>nev</li> <li>inc</li> </ul>	w officer roles reased technic d new contract	in Disabled Fac	ilities Grant ar adaptations (	e pressure on sta nd Asset Manager quantity surveyor	nent teams
□ Yes	S □ No	Please speci	fy		
		Impact Positive	Neutral	Negative	
		<b>Level</b> □ None	□ Low	Medium	🗆 High
Details of	impact				

# **Cumulative Impact**Proposal has a cumulative impact Yes No

Year on Year	Across a Community of Identity/Interest
Geographical Area	□ Other

If yes, details of impact

# Proposal has geographical impact across Sheffield

If Yes, details of geographical impact across Sheffield

#### Local Partnership Area(s) impacted

□ All □ Specific

If Specific, name of Local Partnership Area(s) impacted

#### **Action Plan and Supporting Evidence**

**Action Plan** 

Supporting Evidence (Please detail all your evidence used to support the EIA)

#### Consultation

#### Consultation required

🗆 Yes 🗆 No

#### If consultation is not required please state why

The proposal is invest-to-save, providing support for people in need to maintain independence.

Are Staff who may be affected by these proposals aware of them

Are Customers	who r	may be	affected by the	se proposals	aware of t	them
🗆 Yes		No				

If you have said no to either please say why

Summary of overall impact				
Summary of everall impact				
Summary of overall impact				
Summary of evidence				
Changes made as a result of the EIA				

# Escalation plan Is there a high impact in any area? Yes No Overall risk rating after any mitigations have been put in place High Medium Low None





This page is intentionally left blank